EKU Program Review Criteria -- Area(s) of Responsibility, Sources of Information, and Descriptive Notes

	Responsible for Providing Info	Source of Info	Notes
Consistency with Institutional Mission/Strategic			
Agenda/Strategic Implementation Plan			
			A program should adhere to the role and scope of
			the institution as set forth in its mission statement
			and as complemented by the institution's strategic
a. Contribution to EKU mission	Program faculty and leaders	Internal expertise	plan.
			There should be a clear connection between the
			program and the state's postsecondary education
			goals. The state's strategic plan for postsecondary
b. Contribution to economic and social welfare goals of			education focuses on four areas (college readiness,
HB1 as delineated in the statewide postsecondary			student success, research/econ/commun devel,
education strategic agenda	Program faculty and leaders	Internal expertise	efficiency & innovation).
	<u> </u>	·	Should focus on how the program aligns with one
c. Alignment with statewide postsecondary education			or more action steps included in the statewide
strategic implementation plan	Program faculty and leaders	Internal expertise	strategic implementation plan.
Program Quality and Student Success			
			Must include all SLOs, explain how each is
			evaluated and how data have been used to
			improve program. Also describe measures of
		TracDat data, internal	teaching effectiveness and improvements made
a. Evidence of attainment of student learning outcomes	Program faculty and leaders	expertise	based on these measures. Describe awards from disciplinary associations
			(incl. accred.), nonprofits, govt agencies or other
			external entities. Describe accomplishments
 b. External awards or other recognition of the students, 			related to student work, faculty teaching/research,
faculty and/or program	Dragger faculty and landars	Internal program data	or other elements.
racuity and/or program	Program faculty and leaders	Internal program data	Avg. number of years for grads to complete (from
c. Average actual time and credit to degree	Institutional Research	Banner, IR databases	matric & declar); avg. credits for grads.
c. Twerage actual time and create to degree	mstrational Research	Darmer, in databases	Include survey/focus group/advisory board results,
d. Employer satisfaction with graduates as measured by	Institutional Research, Career	Survey and focus group	including separate recommendations from
surveys and/or alumni satisfaction	Services, Program faculty and leaders	results	employers/alumni to improve program.
, ,, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	,		Describe jobs grads have secured, including
			employers of multiple grads, and geography of
	Career Services, Program faculty and	Survey results, internal	employers. Describe grad school-going rates,
e. Job placement or graduate school admission	leaders	program data	including names of schools.
f. Pass rates on licensure/certification exams	Program faculty and leaders	Internal program data	List names of exams and pass rates by year.

EKU Program Review Criteria -- Area(s) of Responsibility, Sources of Information, and Descriptive Notes

	Responsible for Providing Info	Source of Info	Notes
Cost and Funding			
a. Student credit hours per instructional faculty FTE	Institutional Research, Program leaders	Banner, IR databases, internal program data	Credit hours taught by program faculty in their unit, department, or discipline, <i>divided by</i> the number of <u>instructional</u> FTE (as defined by the institution) of those program faculty.
b. Extramural funding	Program leaders, Financial Affairs, Sponsored Programs	Banner, internal program data	Include funds from govt agencies (local, state, fed), business, private foundations, and individuals.
Program Demand and Unnecessary Duplication a. Number of students enrolled and credit hour production	Institutional Research	Banner, IR databases	Five years of data for the program. Credit hours match definition of numerator in SCH per instructional faculty FTE (see above).
b. Number of degrees conferred	Institutional Research	Banner, IR databases	Five years of data for the program.
c. Explanation of how the curriculum is different from existing programs at other institutions or that access to these programs is limited	Program faculty and leaders	Internal expertise, CPE program inventory	If there are similar programs at other public universities in the state (same or close CIP), explain how curriculum here is different, or access is limited at the other schools.
d. Explanation of pursuit of collaborative opportunities with similar programs at other institutions and how collaboration will increase effectiveness and efficiency	Program faculty and leaders	Internal expertise	If program serves similar student population and curriculum does not differ substantially from existing programs, describe the collaborative arrangements being pursued (or able to pursue) with institutions offering similar programs.